RESOLUTION <u>16-15</u>

2015 COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT

WHEREAS, the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report describes wastewater management activities, physical conditions and performance of the treatment works during the previous calendar year; and

WHEREAS, State Statues Chapter 283, Department of Natural Resources Administrative Code NR 208 requires the Common Council adopt a resolution accepting the Compliance Maintenance Report prepared by the Water and Sewer Department; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the report is attached.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Common Council of the City of Platteville that the attached report is hereby approved.

Adopted this 28th day of June, 2016.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL CITY OF PLATTEVILLE, WISCONSIN

Eilen Nickels

Eileen Nickels Council President

ATTEST:

Nart

Jan Martin City Clerk

Platteville Wastewater	Treatment Facility
------------------------	--------------------

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/6/2016 **2015**

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Ave 1.1 Verify the	rage Flows and (C)BC following monthly flo)D Lo ws a	oadings nd (C)BOD loadings to t	your	facility.		
Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	×	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	×	8.34	-	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.6382	X	341	X	8.34	=	1,814
February	0.7409	X	323	х	8.34	=	1,996
March	0.7837	x	253	X	8.34	=	1,653
April	0.7896	Х	313	X	8.34	=	2,061
May	0.7115	х	302	x	8.34	=	1,791
June	0.6675	х	265	X	8.34	=	1,477
July	0.6191	х	287	X	8.34	==	1,483
August	0.6356	х	299	X	8.34	=	1,583
September	0.7969	x	324	X	8.34	=	2,151
October	0.7737	х	353	х	8.34	=	2,276
November	0.8566	х	331	X	8.34	=	2,362
December	0.9292	х	285	X	8.34	=	2,205

2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	X	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	2.05	X	90	=	1.845
		X	100	=	2.05
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	3230	X	90	=	2907
		X	100	=	3230

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
	of	flow was greater			
	Influent			than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	hch	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	er of Po	inte			0

Platteville Wastev	vater Treatment Faci	lity	Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting For 2015
3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influ ● Yes	ent flow meter calibrate Enter last calibratior	ed in the last year? h date (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/08/2015		
○ No If No, please exp	lain:			
excessive convent	nunity have a sewer us ional pollutants ((C)BO rcial users, hauled was	e ordinance that limited or prohibil D, SS, or pH) or toxic substances t te, or residences?	ted the dischar <u>c</u> to the sewer fro	ue of m
	ry to enforce the ordin	ance?		
○ Yes ● No If Yes, please ex	plain:			
5. Septage Receivir 5.1 Did you have r Septic Tanks	g equests to receive sept Holding Tanks	age at your facility? Grease Traps		
• Yes	• Yes	0 Yes		
O NO	O NO	• No		
5.2 Did you receiv Septic Tanks • Yes • No	e septage at your faclit 2000	y? If yes, indicate volume in gallor] gallons	ns.	
Holding Tanks ● Yes ○ No	508,747	gallons		
Grease Traps O Yes • No		gallons		
	v of the above, please o	explain if plant performance is affe	ected when rece	iving
Plant performanc	e was not affected whe	en receiving these wastes.		
or hazardous situat	v experience operation ions in the sewer syste strial discharges in the	al problems, permit violations, bios em or treatment plant that were at last year?	solids quality co ttributable to	incerns,
	ne situation and your c	ommunity's response.		
6.2 Did your facility • Yes	accept hauled industr	ial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?		J

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/6/2016	2015

• No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
6/6/2016	2015

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	30	27	0	1	0	0
February	30	27	1	1	0	0
March	30	27	2	1	0	0
April	30	27	1	1	0	0
May	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
June	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
July	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
August	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
September	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
October	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
November	30	27	1	1	0	0
December	30	27	0	1	0	0
		* Eq.	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
1onths of di	scharge/yr			12		
oints per e	ach exceedanc	e with 12 mor	ths of discharge		7	3
xceedances	5				0	0
oints					0	0
otal numb	er of points					0
the number of the year, .2 If any vi Flow Mete	r of months of the multiplica olations occurr r Calibration	discharge. Exa ition factor is : ed, what actic	ed upon a multipli ample: For a waste 12/6 = 2.0 In was taken to re d in the last year?	ewater facility gain complian	discharging or	livided by aly 6 months
1 Was the			n date (MM/DD/YY		015	
.1 Was the ▶ Yes ▷ No f No, pleas	e explain:					
• Yes > No <u>f No, pleas</u> Treatment	Problems	were experie	nced over the last	year that thre	atened treatm	ent?
• Yes > No <u>f No, pleas</u> Treatment	Problems	were experier	nced over the last	year that thre	atened treatm	ent?

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting For 2015
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an eff toxicity (WET) test?• Yes	luent acute or chronic whole e	ffluent
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps source(s) of toxicity?o Yes	taken to identify and/or reduc	ce
0 No		
• N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/6/2016 **2015**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	30	27	2	1	0	0
February	30	27	3	1	0	0
March	30	27	4	1	0	0
April	30	27	3	1	0	0
May	15	13.5	2	1	0	0
June	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
July	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
August	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
September	15	13.5	2	1	0	0
October	15	13.5	2	1	0	0
November	30	27	3	1	0	0
December	30	27	2	1	0	0
		* Eq.	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
10nths of D	ischarge/yr			12		
oints per	each exceeda	nce with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3
Exceedances	S				0	0
oints					0	0
otal Numb	er of Points					0
exceedance the number Example: F factor is 12,	for this sectio of months of or a wastewat 6 = 2.0	n shall be bas discharge. er facility disc	mittently to state ed upon a multipli harging only 6 mc on was taken to re	cation factor c onths of the ye	of 12 months c ar, the multip	livided by

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/6/2016 **2015**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for NH3

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average NH3	Weekly Average NH3	Effluent Monthly Average	Monthly Permit Limit	Effluent Weekly Average	Effluent Weekly Average	Effluent Weekly Average	Effluent Weekly Average	Weekly Permit Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	Limit (mg/L)	NH3 (mg/L)	Exceed ance	for Week 1	for Week 2	for Week 3	for Week 4	Exceed ance
January	4.6		.09	0					
February	4.6		.021	0					
March	4.6		.0386956	52 0					
April	2.9		.02090909	€ € 1 0					
May	1.5		.0295238	L 0					
June	1.5		.03545454	15 0					
July	1.5		.0390909)9 0					
August	1.5		.06391304	13 0					
September	1.5		.1109090	91 0					
October	4.6		.0442857	.4 0					
November	4.6		.0772727	27 0					
December	4.6		.0665217						
Points per ea	ach excee	dance of M	1onthly av	erage:					10
Exceedances	s, Monthly								0
Points:		-							0
oints per ea	ach exceed	dance of w	veekly ave	rage (whe	en there is	no month	nly averge):	2.5
Exceedances	, Weekly:	·····							0
oints:									0
otal Numb	er of Poi	nts							0
NOTE: Limit monthly ave be true eve does not ex .2 If any vio	erage limit n if a weel ist, the we	t exists it kly limit a eekly limit	will be use lso exists. : will be us	ed to dete When a v ed to dete	ct exceeda veekly ave ect exceed	ances and erage limit lances and	generate exists an gernate	points. Th d a month	is will

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:	
6/6/2016	2015	

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	1	0.4	1	0
February	1	0.3	1	0
March	1	0.3	1	0
April	1	0.8	1	0
Мау	1	0.5	1	0
June	1	0.3	1.	0
July	1	0.7	1	0
August	1	0.6	1.	0
September	1	0.7	1	0
October	1	0.6	1	0
November	1	0.5	1	0
December	1	0.6	1	0
Months of Discharg	je/yr		12	<u> </u>
Points per each	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10
Exceedances				0
Total Number of	Points			0
exceedance for thi the number of mo Example: For a wa is 12/6 = 2.0	s section shall be ba nths of discharge. astewater facility disc	rmittently to waters of sed upon a multiplicat charging only 6 month ion was taken to regai	ion factor of 12 mon s of the year, the mι	ths divided by

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville	e Wa	stewa	ater T	reat	ment	t Fac	ility								st Up /6/2(Rep	orting 2015	Fo
Biosolid	s Qı	ality	y and	d Ma	inag	jem	ent									<u> </u>			
1. Biosolia 1.1 How Land Publia Haule Landf Incine Other NOTE: I as lagoo 1.1.1 If	did y appli cly Di ed to filled erated f you	ou us ed un stribu anoth d did n eed b	e or d der yc ted Ex er per ot ren eds, re	our pe cept mitte nove ecircu	ermit ional ed fac biosc ulatin	Qual cility olids g sar	lity B from nd fil	iosoli your ters,	ds					e you	r sys	tem ty	pe su	ch	
2. Land Ay 2.1 Last 2.1.1 Ho 462 acr 2.1.2 Ho 70 2.2 If you 2.3 Did yo 0 Yes (3 • No 2.4 Have years? • Yes • No (10 0 N/A	Year's ow ma res <u>ow ma</u> <u>a did</u> ou ov 0 poin all th	s Appr any ac any ac not ha rerapp nts) e site	roved cres d acres d acr acr acr acr acr acr acr acr	id yo es nough roger	u hav u use n acre	ve? es for any c	r you of you	r land ur app	d app prove	licati d lar	nd ap	plica	tion s	sites	you	used la	ast yea	ar?	0
3, Biosolid Number o 3,1 For ea calendar y Outfall No Parameter	of bios ach oi year.	solids utfall - LIQ	testec	l, ver	ify th				etal q	ualit	y valı	ues f	or yo Oct		cility	during		ast	
	of Limit	Limit	Limit							5.41		P	~~.				Quality	~	
Arsenic		41	75						6.07								0	0	
Cadmium	ļ	39	85						7.39								0	0	
Copper		1500	4300						629								0	0	
	1	300	840						38.6								0	0	
Lead							1	1	.277								0	0	
Lead Mercury		17	57					1											
Lead Mercury Iolybdenum		17	75						6.86							0		0	
Lead Mercury Iolybdenum Nickel	336	17	75 420						6.86 23.8							0		0	
Lead Mercury Iolybdenum		2800	75						6.86								0	0	

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

											~			6	/6/2	016		2015
Outfall N	o. 00	3 - C	AKE	SLUC	GE		~~~~~											
Parameter	80% of Limit		Ceiling		Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75					1	6.07								0	0
Cadmium		39	85		1			1	7.39								0	0
Copper		1500	4300					1	629								0	0
Lead		300	840						38.6								0	0
Mercury		17	57				[1	.277								0	0
10lybdenum	60		75					1	6.86						t	0		0
Nickel	336		420					1	23.8							0		0
Selenium	80		100						5.02				·			0		0
Zinc		2800	7500						1200								0	0
○ 1 (1 ○ > 1 (3.1.4 Wer ○ Yes (20 ● No (0 3.1.5 If ar	appl poin Did no Did no Did no Did no Poin Point Point ny ma	icatio ot exe ot lan of tim oints ts) ints) solids nts) solids nts) etal li	on site ceed li Id app nes an 5 land mit (h	? (ch imits ly bio iy of appl nigh c	or no osolic the r ied w	o HQ Is un netal /hich	limi ⁿ til lir s exce exce	: box t app nit w ceede eedec) as m ed the	0 poi et (0 e ceil ceilir	nts) poin ing li ng lin	ts) mits hit?	= 0					
Has the so	······································					ident	:ified	?										
.1 Verify t	he fo	ollowi	ng info	orma	tion.	If a	ny ir	nform	natior	ı is ir	corre	ect, (Conta	act U	s.			
outfall Nun												003						
iosolids Cl												В						
acteria Ty	·	nd Lir	nit:															
ample Dat	es:						01/	01/20	015 -	12/3	31/20)15						
ensity:							1											
ample Cor	ncent	ratio	n Amo	unt			+											
equiremer							Yes											
and Applie														_,	 .			
	u.						Yes											
rocess:							ANA											
rocess Des	script	ion:					PH : Dige sedi	7.1 C ester men		ixing 350, n. Ga	and Gas s s pro	recii stora duct	rcula ge a ion t	tion. nd S	Sec ludge			

	cility Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting 2015
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:		
Sample Dates:	01/01/2015 - 12/31/2015	
Density:		
Sample Concentration Amount:		******
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	ANAER	
Process Description:	Primary digester 477,000 gals. Temp 96 degrees PH 7.1 Gas mixing and recirculation. Secondary Digester 189,350,Gas storage and Sludge sedimentation. Gas production both digesters 18,000-20,00 cubic ft. per day	
 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the pr O Yes (40 Points) 	ocess criteria not met at the time of land application	tion. 1?
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall) 		
 Ves (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall)]?
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If a second second	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003	-
 Ves (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfail.) Verify the following information. If a Dutfall Number: Method Date: 	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us.	
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfation) Verify the following information. If a Dutfall Number: Method Date: Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: 	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015	
 Ves (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If a Dutfall Number: Aethod Date: Option Used To Satisfy Requirement: Requirement Met: 	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR	
 Ves (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfation). If a point of the following information. If a point of the following information. If a point of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information of the following information of the following information of the following information. If a point of the following information o	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes	
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfated) Vector Attraction (per outfated) Vector Attraction	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes	
 Ves (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If a Dutfall Number: Aethod Date: Dption Used To Satisfy Requirement: aequirement Met: and Applied: imit (if applicable): esults (if applicable): 	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes 38 56.60	
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfation). If a contraction of the following information. If a contract of the following information of the following information. If a contract of the following information of the following information. If a contract of the following information of the following information. If a contract of the following information of the following information. If a contract of the following information of the following information. If a contract of the following information of the followi	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes 38 56.60 003	
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If a 20 outfall Number: Notifall Number: Applied To Satisfy Requirement: Applied: Applied: Applicable): Applicable): Applicable: Ap	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes Yes 38 56.60 003 06/10/2015	
• No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If a Dutfall Number:	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes 38 56.60 003	
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall) Outfall Number: Autfall Number: Nutfall N	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes 38 56.60 003 06/10/2015 VSR	
 Yes (40 Points) No If yes, what action was taken? Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfa 5.1 Verify the following information. If a Dutfall Number: Aethod Date: Dption Used To Satisfy Requirement: equirement Met: and Applied: imit (if applicable): esults (if applicable): putfall Number: lethod Date: ption Used To Satisfy Requirement: 	II): any of the information is incorrect, Contact Us. 003 06/10/2015 VSR Yes Yes 38 56.60 003 06/10/2015 VSR	

6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting For 2015
 >= 180 days (0 Points) 150 - 179 days (10 Points) 120 - 149 days (20 Points) 90 - 119 days (30 Points) < 90 days (40 Points) < N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. 		0
 7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overa 	III management:	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting For: 2015
Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment P	·····	
 1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? Yes No If No, please explain: 		
Could use more help/staff for:		
 L 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate a fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes No 	and maintain the p	lant and
If No, please explain:		
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preve major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) No (40 points) 	entative maintenan	ice on
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:		
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of inte and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 	rvals, types of lub	rication,
 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equip filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes 	ment repairs, reco	orded and
 Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 		
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that can be used as a Yes No 	reference when ne	eeded?
 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor Describe your rating: 		
Describe your rating: We have a highly trained and competent staff.		

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/6/2016	2015

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/6/2016	2015

0

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge

1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?

Yes (0 points)

• No (20 points)

Name DENNIS MOEN

Certification No: 01879

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP	1	OIC	
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Х			Х
A2	Attached Growth Processes	Х			Х
A3	Recirculating Media Filters		-		
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				X
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid	Amh		-	
В	Solids Separation	X	-		X
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х		-	X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	X			X
L	Laboratory	X		-	X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	NA	NA

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS, N and A5 not required in 2015 - 2016; subclass SS is basic level only.)

Yes (0 points)

• No (20 points)

3. Succession Planning

3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?

oxtimes One or more additional certified operators on staff

□ An arrangement with another certified operator

 \square An arrangement with another community with a certified operator

An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year

□ A consultant to serve as your certified operator

□ None of the above (20 points)

If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:

4. Continuing Education Credits

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

atteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting For 2015
• Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.		
• Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.		
Advanced Certification:		
 Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. 		
• Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville Wastewater T	eatment Facility		Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting Fo 2015
Financial Manageme	nt			
 Provider of Financial Inf Name: Telephone: E-Mail Address (optional): 	ormation Barb Johnson (608)348-1822 johnsonb@platteville.org		(XXX) XXX-XX	xx
 treatment plant AND/OR of Yes (0 points) No (40 points) If No, please explain: 	other revenues sufficient to cover of collection system ?			
Year: 2015 • 0-2 years ago (0 points • 3 or more years ago (2 • N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a specia	0 points) I account (e.g., CWFP required se	gregated Repl	acement Fund, e	0 tc.) or
 plant and/or collection sys ● Yes (0 points) ○ No (40 points) 				ment
3. Equipment Replacement	ent Replacement Fund last review 			
3.2.2 Adjustments - if neo	eported on Last Year's CMAR essary (e.g. earned interest,	\$	1,530,757	2.74
audit correction, withdrawa making up previous shortfa 3.2.3 Adjusted January 1s		\$	1,530,757	2.74
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e earned interest, etc.)	.g. portion of User Fee,	+ \$	111,356	
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fireplacement, major repairs 3.2.6.1 below*)	s - use description box	- \$	69,112	2.80
3.2.6 Ending Balance as o Reporting Year	f December 31st for CMAR	\$	1,573,001	68

Plattev	ille Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Update 6/6/2016	d: Reporting For 2015
Equipm	rces: This ending balance should include all nent Replacement Funds whether held in a ccount(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.		
3.2.6	.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repair	s from 3.2.5 a	above.
	er building valve replacement, Centrifuge rehab, Primary tanks rebui		
3.3 W	/hat amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 27	8,181.86	L
Assi	se note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based o stance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed ructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link unde	n the Financia Further calcu	Ilation
3.3.1	Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund abo er than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?	ve, (#3.2.6) e	equal to, or
o No)		
If N	lo, please explain.		
4.1 D or new	are Planning uring the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for construction of your treatment facility or collection system? - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already li		nabilitating,
Project #	Project Description		Approximate Construction Year
1	Intermediate Clarifier rehab	100000	
2	WWTP Valve replacements	20000	2016
3	WWTP Main Building Boiler	25000	
4	Non-potable water systems control	10000	
5	WWTP Valve replacement	20,000	2017
6	WWTP Valve Replacement	20,000	2018
7	Intermediate Clarifier#2 rebuild	100,000	
	WWTP Valve replacement	20,000	2019
9	WWTP Paving	28000	2016
5. Finai	ncial Management General Comments		
L			

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting For 2015
nce (CMOM) requirement	in your
	6/6/2016

1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year?

• Yes (Continue with question 1)

• No (30 points) (Go to question 2)

1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program. Goals

Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system:

continued annual replacement program, continue cleaning and video inspection looking for troubled areas

Organization

- Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)? Ø Ownership and governing body description
- Organizational chart
- Personnel and position descriptions
- ☑ Internal communication procedures
- oxtimes Public information and education program
- ☑ Legal Authority

Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)? Sewer use ordinance

- Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY)05/21/1985
- Pretreatment/industrial control Programs
- Fat, oil and grease control

Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial)

- \boxtimes Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.)
- \boxtimes Private lateral inspections/repairs
- □ Service and management agreements
- Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2)

☑ Design and Performance Provisions

How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly?

State plumbing code

- DNR NR 110 standards
- ☑ Local municipal code requirements
- \boxtimes Construction, inspection, and testing
- Others:

Platteville standard specifications

☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan:

Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)?

 \boxtimes Alarm system and routine testing

Emergency equipment

- ☑ Emergency procedures
- Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.)

 \boxtimes Capacity Assurance:

How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?

Current and up-to-date sewer map

Platteville Wastewater T	reatment Facility		Last Updated: 6/6/2016	Reporting f 2015	For:
 ☐ Lift station O&M ma Within your sewer systed ☐ Areas with flat sewer ☐ Areas with surcharg ☐ Areas with bottlened ☐ Areas with bottlened ☐ Areas with chronic b ☑ Areas with excess d ☑ Areas with excess d ☑ Areas with excession ☐ Sewers with severe ☑ Adequacy of capacit ☐ Lift station capacity ☑ Annual Self-Auditing o implemented, evaluated ☐ Special Studies Last Y ☑ Infiltration/Inflow (I ☐ Sewer System Evalu ☐ Sewer Evaluation ar ☑ Lift Station Evaluation 	ap nd wet well capacity info anuals em have you identified t ers jing cks or constrictions basement backups or SS lebris, solids, or grease bot growth e infiltration/inflow (I/I) defects that affect flow ty for new connections and/or pumping probleu f your O&M/CMOM Prog ed, and re-prioritized as 'ear (check only those the /I) Analysis uation Survey (SSES) nd Capacity Managment on Report	the following? SOs accumulation capacity ms ram to ensure above comp needed nat apply):	oonents are beir		0
 Operation and Maintena 2.1 Did your sanitary sev maintenance activities? C Cleaning 	ver collection system ma	aintenance program includ nd indicate the amount m % of system/year	le the following aintained.		
Root removal	1	% of system/year			
Flow monitoring	0	% of system/year			
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year			
Sewer line televising	20	% of system/year			
Manhole inspections	50	% of system/year			
Lift station O&M	50	# per L.S./year			
Manhole	52	# per L.S./year			
rehabilitation	0	% of manholes rehabbed			
Mainline rehabilitation	0	% of sewer lines rehabbe	d		
Private sewer inspections	10	% of system/year			
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of private services			
Please include additiona	I comments about your	sanitary sewer collection	system below:		
3. Performance Indicators					

	er Treatment Facility	Last Updated 6/6/2016	d: Reporting f 2015
3.1 Provide the follow	ving collection system and flow information for the	past vear.	
	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in in	ches	
	Annual average precipitation (for your location)		
52	Miles of sanitary sewer		
4	Number of lift stations		
0	Number of lift station failures		
0			
0	Number of basement backup occurrences		
	Number of complaints		
.745	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)		
.929	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)		
	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)		
3.2 Performance ratio	s for the past year: Lift station failures (failures/year)		
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)		
	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)		
	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)		
	Complaints (number/sewer mile)		
	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily A	va)	
	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg])	
. Overflows	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) O		DRTED **
. Overflows		FERFLOWS REPC	DRTED ** Estimated olume (MG)
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) O	FERFLOWS REPC	Estimated
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) O Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected.	FERFLOWS REPC Cause	Estimated olume (MG)
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co . Infiltration / Inflow (SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OF Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected. (I/I) flow (I/I) significant in your community last year?	FERFLOWS REPC Cause	Estimated olume (MG)
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co Infiltration / Inflow (5.1 Was infiltration/in o Yes • No	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OF Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected. (I/I) flow (I/I) significant in your community last year?	FERFLOWS REPC Cause	Estimated olume (MG)
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co . Infiltration / Inflow (5.1 Was infiltration/in o Yes • No If Yes, please describ 5.2 Has infiltration/inf	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OF Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected. (I/I) flow (I/I) significant in your community last year? be: low and resultant high flows affected performance lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the	FERFLOWS REPC Cause V act the DNR and	Estimated olume (MG) I stop work
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co . Infiltration / Inflow (5.1 Was infiltration/in o Yes • No If Yes, please describ 5.2 Has infiltration/inf rour collection system, o Yes • No	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OF Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected. (I/I) flow (I/I) significant in your community last year? be: low and resultant high flows affected performance lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the	FERFLOWS REPC Cause V act the DNR and	Estimated olume (MG) I stop work
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co . Infiltration / Inflow (5.1 Was infiltration/in o Yes • No If Yes, please describ 5.2 Has infiltration/inf rour collection system, o Yes • No If Yes, please describ	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OF Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected. (I/I) flow (I/I) significant in your community last year? be: low and resultant high flows affected performance lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the	FERFLOWS REPO Cause V act the DNR and or created prob past year?	Estimated olume (MG) I stop work
. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY Date ** If there were any S on this section until co . Infiltration / Inflow (5.1 Was infiltration/in o Yes • No If Yes, please describ 5.2 Has infiltration/infrour collection system, o Yes • No If Yes, please describ 	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OF Location None reported SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont rrected. (I/I) flow (I/I) significant in your community last year? be: low and resultant high flows affected performance lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the be:	FERFLOWS REPO Cause V act the DNR and or created prob past year?	Estimated olume (MG) I stop work

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/6/2016	2015
Home inspection program, course lateral increation and and		

Home inspection program, sewer lateral inspection program, annual collection system replacement program.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility		Reporting For:
	6/6/2016	2015

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0020435

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS		1	37	148
GRADE POINT AVER	AGE(GPA) = 4.00	l		

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/6/2016	2015

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing Body or Owner:

Date of Resolution or Action Taken:

Resolution Number: Date of Submittal:

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A

Staffing: Grade = A

Operator Certification: Grade = A

Financial Management: Grade = A

Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS

(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) **G.P.A. = 4.00**